# Quantitative redox control and measurement in hydrothermal experiments

I-MING CHOU and GARY L. CYGAN

959 National Center, U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, Virginia 22092, U.S.A.

Abstract—In situ redox measurements have been made in sealed Au capsules containing the assemblage Co-CoO-H<sub>2</sub>O by using hydrogen-fugacity sensors at 2 kbar pressure with three different pressure media, Ar, CH<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O, and between 500 and 800°C. Results show that equilibrium redox states can be achieved and maintained under Ar or CH<sub>4</sub> external pressure, but they cannot be achieved and maintained at temperatures above 600°C under H<sub>2</sub>O external pressure. The conventional assumption that equilibrium redox condition is achieved at a fixed *P*-*T* condition in the presence of a redox buffer assemblage is therefore not necessarily valid, mainly due to the slow kinetics of the buffer reaction and/or the high rate of hydrogen leakage through the buffer container. The inconsistent equilibrium phase boundaries for the assemblage annite-sanidine-magnetite-fluid reported in the literature can be explained by the inadequate redox control in some of the earlier experiments. The attainment of redox equilibrium in hydrothermal experiments should be confirmed by the inclusion of a hydrogen-fugacity sensor in each experiment where the redox state is either quantitatively or semiquantitatively controlled.

## INTRODUCTION

THE PIONEERING WORK OF EUGSTER (1957) on the solid oxygen buffer technique provides a convenient way to control redox states in hydrothermal experiments. Information on the technique's basic theory, the experimental setups of the original design as well as its variations, and its applications are contained in papers by HUEBNER (1971) and CHOU (1987a). The basic experimental assembly consists of two nested capsules (Fig. 1) and has often been referred to as the double-capsule technique. The mineral assemblage under study and water are sealed in the inner capsule, constructed of either Pt or one of the Ag-Pd alloys, which are highly permeable to hydrogen. This charge system, together with an oxygen buffer assemblage and water, is in turn sealed in a larger outer capsule, made of either Au or Ag, which are relatively impermeable to hydrogen. When this assembly is run at a fixed P-Tcondition, the unknown redox state of the charge system is compared with the known redox state of the buffer system by examining the direction of the redox reaction in the charge system after quench.

Suppose that the reversal of the redox reaction of the charge system can be demonstrated at a given pressure P within a minimum temperature bracket  $\Delta T = T_2 - T_1$ , where  $T_2 > T_1$ . Then the equilibrium of the redox reaction of the charge system is located at  $T = [(T_2 + T_1)/2] \pm (\Delta T/2)$  and at the redox state of the buffer system, assuming that the equilibrium redox states of the buffer system had been achieved and maintained during the run. However, our recent redox measurements of the buffer system by using the hydrogen fugacity sensors (CHOU and EUGSTER, 1976; CHOU, 1978, 1987a,b) indicate that this assumption is not always valid. Therefore, some of the published data on the mineral stability relations in P-T-fO<sub>2</sub> or P-T-fH<sub>2</sub> space obtained by using the oxygen-buffer technique may not be reliable, as indicated, for example, by the recent data on annite (HEWITT and WONES, 1981, 1984). Therefore, we will re-evaluate the oxygen-buffer technique based upon our fH<sub>2</sub>-sensor measurements in this paper, point out some potential inherent problems, and provide remedies. Preliminary results have been presented earlier (CHOU and CY-GAN, 1987, 1989; CHOU, 1988).

## THE EQUILIBRIUM ASSUMPTION OF THE BUFFER SYSTEM

In the application of the oxygen-buffer technique, it is commonly assumed that the redox state of the buffer system at P and T is fixed at the equilibrium condition if the phases of the buffer assemblage (oxygen buffer plus water) are present after the experiment as demonstrated by X-ray and/or optical methods after quench. However, several factors may affect the validity of this equilibrium assumption: (1) a buffer phase may alloy with the container material, thus changing its activity (HUEBNER, 1971); (2) a buffer phase may be isolated by the armoring of the reactant by a layer of buffer reaction product; (3) hydrogen may leak through the external capsule; and (4) the buffer reactions may have slow kinetics.

Alloying of a buffer phase with the container material has been examined by the establishment and maintenance of steady-state hydrogen fluxes through the wall of the inner capsule. The experimental setup is the same as shown in Fig. 1 except that the charge system is replaced by another oxygen buffer + H<sub>2</sub>O assemblage (CHOU, 1986). In relatively short experiments, the alloying is not a significant problem. The decay of the steady-state hydrogen fluxes observed in the same experiments with longer durations (CHOU, 1986, his Fig. 4) is at least partially attributed to the armoring of buffer phases, which prevents equilibrium redox control of the buffer assemblages on both sides of the inner membrane. The existence of the alloying and armoring problems in certain runs may be inferred from inconsistent experimental results but is generally difficult to identify unambiguously. The use of the hydrogen-fugacity sensor technique (CHOU and EUGSTER, 1976; CHOU, 1978, 1987a,b) makes it possible to identify when alloying and amoring significantly affect equilibrium redox conditions and also to identify the problems of hydrogen leakage and slow kinetics. The nature of the last two problems will be presented after a brief review of the  $f H_2$  sensor technique.

### THE HYDROGEN-FUGACITY SENSOR TECHNIQUE

A brief summary of the technique is given here. For additional information on the theory, experimental details, and applications, the reader is referred to previous publications (CHOU, 1978, 1987a,b, 1989). To prepare a typical sensor, 10-20  $\mu$ L of solution, either distilled H<sub>2</sub>O (for a type A sensor) or 3 m HCl (for a type B sensor), together with ~20 mg Ag and ~20 mg AgCl are loaded into a Pt or AgPd capsule, 1.85 mm OD, 1.54 mm ID, 19 mm long, which is then welded shut. These sensors are then exposed to a system, whose f H<sub>2</sub> is to be measured at P and T. It has been shown that

$$(f H_2)_{P,T} = (K_1)_{P,T} (m_{\text{HCI}})_{P,T}^2$$
 (1)

where  $K_1$  is a constant at a fixed P-T condition and  $(m_{\rm HCl})_{P,T}$  is the molality of associated HCl at P and T calculated from the total  $Cl^-$  molarity measured after quench,  $(M_{Cl^-})_{1 \text{ atm, } 25^{\circ}C}$  (CHOU, 1987a). The attainment of H<sub>2</sub> osmotic equilibrium in the system is indicated by similar measured values of  $(M_{\rm Cl^-})_{1 \text{ atm, } 25^{\circ}\rm C}$  in sensors A and B. The  $(M_{\rm Cl^-})_{1 \rm atm, 25^{\circ}C}$  values range from ~0.05 for the magnetite-hematite-H2O assemblage at 2 kbar and 600°C to ~2.0 for Co-CoO-H<sub>2</sub>O assemblage at 2 kbar and 800°C. For more reducing conditions, it is advantageous to use the analogous Ag-AgBr-HBr type of fH2 sensor instead of the Ag-AgCl-HCl type, since the Br<sup>-</sup> concentrations in the sensors are about an order of magnitude lower than those of Cl- under the same P-T-f H<sub>2</sub> conditions, so that  $m_{Br^-}$  can be approximated by  $M_{Br^-}$ . To prepare the Ag-AgBr-



FIG. 1. Schematic diagram showing experimental arrangement of the oxygen buffer technique developed by EUGSTER (1957).

HBr type of f H<sub>2</sub> sensor, AgCl is replaced by AgBr and 3 *m* HCl is replaced by 1.5 *M* HBr in the procedures described above for the preparation of the Ag-AgCl-HCl type. The Ag-AgBr-HBr type of f H<sub>2</sub> sensor has been used to calibrate the graphitemethane buffer (CHOU, 1987b) and monitor the f H<sub>2</sub> in the relatively reducing assemblage WO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O (CYGAN and CHOU, 1987) according to the relation

$$(f H_2)_{P,T} = (K_2)_{P,T} (m_{\text{HBr}})_{P,T}^2$$
  
 $\approx (K_2)_{P,T} (M_{\text{Br}})_{1 \text{ atm, } 25^{\circ}\text{C}}$ (2)

where  $K_2$  is a constant,  $(m_{\text{HBr}})_{P,T}$  is molality of associated HBr at P and T, and  $(M_{\text{Br}^-})_{1 \text{ atm}, 25^{\circ}\text{C}}$  is molarity of Br<sup>-</sup> in the sensor measured after quench. The above approximation relation is only valid when HBr at P and T is mostly associated; otherwise the HBr dissociation constant at P and T is needed to convert  $(M_{\text{Br}^-})_{1 \text{ atm}, 25^{\circ}\text{C}}$  to  $(m_{\text{HBr}})_{P,T}$ .

## HYDROGEN LEAKAGE AND THE EFFECT OF THE PRESSURE MEDIUM

As mentioned earlier, Au and Ag capsules are commonly used as containers for the buffer assemblage (see Fig. 1) because they are relatively impermeable to hydrogen. However, since these materials are still permeable to hydrogen, especially at temperatures above  $650^{\circ}$ C (CHOU, 1986, his Fig. 3), it is unavoidable that the choice of the external pressure medium used in the buffer experiments will have some effect on the rate of hydrogen leakage through the wall of external capsule and hence may affect the redox state of the buffer system, especially when the buffer reaction is sluggish. To assess these effects, we performed a series of experiments using the f H<sub>2</sub> sensors to monitor simultaneously the redox states in the buffer system and in three different

pressure media: Ar, CH<sub>4</sub>, and H<sub>2</sub>O. The effect of the external pressure medium on the  $f H_2$  that can be maintained in a sealed Au capsule containing the buffer assemblage Co-CoO-H2O was examined at 2 kbar and between 500 and 800°C. The Au capsules used were 0.2 mm thick and 25.4 mm long and had an ID of either 4.0 mm or 2.7 mm. Three different capsule arrangements were used (Fig. 2). In arrangement (a) shown in Fig. 2,  $f H_2$  sensors A and B were exposed to the Co-CoO-H<sub>2</sub>O buffer system, and the degree of attainment of osmotic equilibrium can be demonstrated in each run. In arrangement (b), only one  $f H_2$  sensor was exposed to the buffer system in order to save more room for the buffer assemblage; the durations of the runs were longer than those required for the attainment of osmotic equilibrium established by experiments using arrangement (a). Arrangement (c) was similar to (b), except that an extra layer of Au capsule con-



FIG. 2. Schematic diagrams showing three types of experimental arrangements used in this study to measure  $fH_2$  in Au capsules containing the buffer assemblage Co-CoO-H<sub>2</sub>O. Type A of  $fH_2$  sensor uses  $H_2O$  as a starting solution, and type B uses acid solution (either 3 *m* HCl or 1.5 *M* HBr) as a starting solution (for detail, see text). The capsules were pressurized externally at 2 kbar by Ar,  $H_2O$ , or CH<sub>4</sub> and an additional  $fH_2$  sensor (type A or B) was exposed directly to the pressure medium to measure its redox state at *P* and *T*. Results are given in Table 1 and Figs. 3 and 4.

taining the same buffer assemblage was added to minimize the hydrogen gradient and hence hydrogen transfer across the inner Au capsule wall. In all three experimental setups, an additional  $f H_2$  sensor was placed adjacent to the capsule to monitor redox state in the pressure medium. Experiments were performed in Stellite 25\* cold-seal pressure vessels using Ar, H<sub>2</sub>O, or CH<sub>4</sub> as a pressure medium. The experimental setup was similar to that used to calibrate the C-CH<sub>4</sub> buffer (CHOU, 1987b, his Fig. 1), except that stainless steel instead of graphite filler rods were used when the pressure medium was Ar or H<sub>2</sub>O. Both the Ag-AgCl-HCl type and the Ag-AgBr-HBr type of  $f H_2$  sensor were used in this study. The experimental procedures were the same as those described previously (CHOU, 1987b). In addition, the H<sub>2</sub>O budget in the Co-CoO-H<sub>2</sub>O assemblage was determined for some of the runs in order to monitor the rate of H<sub>2</sub> permeation through the Au capsule wall. According to the reaction

$$Co_{(s)} + H_2O_{(g)} = CoO_{(s)} + H_{2(g)},$$
 (3)

for every mole of  $H_2$  being added to or subtracted from the system, one mole of  $H_2O$  is created or consumed, respectively. Therefore, the average permeation rates of hydrogen through the Au capsule can be calculated from the weight change of  $H_2O$  in the capsule; the initial weight of  $H_2O$  is measured during loading and the final weight of  $H_2O$  after quench is measured by the weight-loss method (CHOU, 1986).

Experimental results are given in Table 1 and shown in Figs. 3 and 4. All of the experimental data under CH<sub>4</sub> external pressure shown in Fig. 3 are taken from CHOU (1987b, his Table 1) except one run (no. 28 in Table 1), in which the H<sub>2</sub>O budget in the Au capsule was obtained to demonstrate that hydrogen diffuses into instead of out of the Au capsule. Experimental results under Ar pressure medium are also shown in Fig. 3 for comparison. The data are plotted in terms of log  $M_{\rm Br}$ , which can be related to log  $f H_2$  through Equation (2). Redox states of the pressure medium in some runs are measured by using the Ag-AgCl-HCl sensors, and the results in  $(M_{\rm Cl}-)_{1 \text{ atm, }25^{\circ}\rm C}$  are converted to  $M_{\rm Br}$ - through the relation

$$(f H_2)_{P,T} = (K_1)_{P,T} (m_{\text{HCI}})_{P,T}^2$$
  
=  $(K_2)_{P,T} (M_{\text{Br}})_{1 \text{ atm. } 25^{\circ}\text{C}}$  (4)

where  $(m_{\rm HCl})_{P,T}$  is calculated from  $(M_{\rm Cl})_{1 \text{ atm, } 25^{\circ}\rm C}$ 

<sup>\*</sup> Registered trademark of Haynes Stellite Co. Use of trade names in this publication is for descriptive purposes only and does not constitute endorsement by the U.S. Geological Survey.

| r |
|---|
|   |
|   |

| Table 1. Experimental results of the effect of pressure medium on the redox states of the buffer assemblage Co-Co | 0- |
|---|----|
| $H_{2}O$ contained in Au capsules of 0.2 mm wall thickness at 2 kbar total pressure                               |    |

|            | Experimental<br>setup <sup>a)</sup> | D<br>T (°C) |                       | $\log M_{\rm Br}^{-b}$           |                      | wt. of H <sub>2</sub> O<br>(mg) <sup>c)</sup> |       |  |
|------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---|-------|--|
| Run<br>no. |                                     |             | Duration, t<br>(hrs.) | Co-CoO-H <sub>2</sub> O          | Pressure medium      | Initial                                       | Final | $\Delta H_2 O/t^{d}$<br>( $\mu g/hr$ ) |
|            |                                     |             |                       | (A) Ar pressure med              | ium                  |   |       |  |
| 23         | b                                   | 800         | 8                     | (-0.800)                         | -1.043 <sup>h)</sup> | 24.95   | 22.47 | -310.0                                 |
| 21         | b                                   | 800         | 8                     | -0.821                           | n.d. <sup>e)</sup>   | 24.35   | 21.66 | -336.3                                 |
| 22         | b                                   | 752         | 16                    | -0.852                           | -1.260 <sup>h)</sup> | 25.28   | 22.03 | -203.1                                 |
| 24A        | b                                   | 701         | 52                    | -0.838                           | $-1.040^{h}$         | 23.44   | 18.19 | -101.1                                 |
| 19         | b                                   | 700         | 68                    | (-0.872)                         | -0.942               | 26.18   | 23.05 | -46.0                                  |
| 26         | a                                   | 651         | 96                    | -0.876; (-0.911)                 | $-1.190^{h}$         | 19.93   | 15.71 | -44.0                                  |
| 27         | a                                   | 601         | 120                   | -0.938; (-0.980)                 | $-1.470^{h}$         | 25.23   | 24.15 | -9.0                                   |
| 29         | a                                   | 550         | 167                   | -1.093; (-1.087)                 | -1.520               | 19.80   | 15.91 | -23.3                                  |
| 29A        | 2                                   | 550         | 120                   | -1.063; (-1.099)                 | n.d.                 | 20.00   | 19.51 | -4.1                                   |
| 25         | a                                   | 500         | 288                   | -1.121; (-1.102)                 | -1.180               | 25.33   | 24.99 | -1.2                                   |
|            |                                     |             |                       | (B) H <sub>2</sub> O pressure me | dium                 |   |       |  |
| 11         | b                                   | 800         | 20                    | (-1.137)                         | n.d.                 | 10.00   | 5.70  | -215.0                                 |
| 12         | b                                   | 800         | 19                    | -1.151                           | n.d.                 | n.d.  | n.d.  | n.d.                                   |
| 15         | c                                   | 800         | 6                     | -1.204                           | n.d.                 | n.d.  | n.d.  | n.d.                                   |
| 18         | c                                   | 800         | 10                    | -1.134                           | -1.101               | 16.30   | 10.21 | -609.0                                 |
| C8         | b                                   | 800         | 25                    | n.l. <sup>f)</sup>               | -1.000; (-0.988)     | n.a.g)  | n.a.  | n.a.                                   |
| 2          | a                                   | 750         | 49                    | -1.070; (-1.132)                 | -1.201               | n.d.  | n.d.  | n.d.                                   |
| 7          | c                                   | 750         | 46                    | -1.135                           | n.d.                 | 12.45   | 10.08 | -51.5                                  |
| 4          | c                                   | 706         | 90                    | -1.071                           | n.d.                 | 17.01   | n.d.  | n.d.                                   |
| 1          | a                                   | 700         | 72                    | -1.174; (-1.180)                 | -1.359; (-1.348)     | 39.36   | n.d.  | n.d.                                   |
| 9          | c                                   | 700         | 96                    | (-1.008)                         | n.d.                 | 13.29   | 7.96  | -55.5                                  |
| E          | b                                   | 700         | 48                    | (-1.205)                         | n.d.                 | 20.95   | 17.07 | -80.8                                  |
| 34         | a                                   | 696         | 48                    | -1.245;(-1.327)                  | -1.319               | 20.28   | 18.09 | -45.6                                  |
| 8          | c                                   | 650         | 120                   | -1.117                           | n.d.                 | 18.22   | 11.99 | -51.9                                  |
| 5          | c                                   | 600         | 188                   | -1.101                           | n.d.                 | 14.77   | 9.38  | -23.4                                  |
| 10         | c                                   | 600         | 23                    | (-1.025)                         | n.d.                 | 15.00   | n.d.  | n.d.                                   |
| 33         | a                                   | 595         | 163                   | -1.148; (-1.161)                 | -1.581               | 30.12   | 27.70 | -14.8                                  |
| 14         | -<br>b                              | 500         | 240                   | -1.140                           | n.d.                 | 20.00   | 16.71 | -13.7                                  |
| 17         | b                                   | 500         | 240                   | (1.160)                          | -1.343               | 20.00   | 19.70 | -1.25                                  |
|            |                                     |             |                       | (C) CH <sub>4</sub> pressure me  | dium                 |   |       |  |
| 28         | а                                   | 650         | 120                   | -0.895; (-0.908)                 | -0.768               | 22.96   | 25.08 | 17.7                                   |

<sup>a)</sup> As shown in Fig. 2.

<sup>b)</sup> Numbers in parentheses are from sensors using 1.5M HBr as a starting solution; the rest are from sensors using H<sub>2</sub>O as a starting solution. The relation between log  $M_{Br}$  and log f H<sub>2</sub> can be obtained from Equation (4). Results are shown in Figs. 3 and 4.

<sup>o)</sup> Weight of H<sub>2</sub>O in Au capsules containing the buffer assemblage Co-CoO-H<sub>2</sub>O. For those using double Au capsules (setup (c) in Fig. 2), the numbers given are for the inner Au capsule; the final wt. of H<sub>2</sub>O in the outer Au capsule was determined for run no. 18 only. The  $\Delta$ H<sub>2</sub>O/*t* for the outer Au capsule in this run was -1143 (µg/hr) including the H<sub>2</sub>O loss from the inner Au capsule.

<sup>a)</sup> Average rates of  $H_2O$  generation (positive) or consumption (negative) in the Au capsules; to obtain rates of  $H_2$  gain or loss, see Equation (5).

e) Not determined.

f) Not loaded.

<sup>g)</sup> Not applicable.

<sup>h)</sup> Calculated from measured  $m_{CI^-}$  using Equation (4).

and the ionization constant of HCl (for detail, see CHOU, 1987a). The data shown in Fig. 3 indicate that equilibrium redox states (shown by the open symbols) can be maintained in the Au capsule for the assemblage Co-CoO-H<sub>2</sub>O at 2 kbar CH<sub>4</sub> or Ar external pressure; these redox states are represented

by the solid line, which is the least-squares fit of the data.

The reasons for choosing the Co-CoO-H<sub>2</sub>O buffer in this study are that the buffer reaction is kinetically fast and that, at P and T, the assemblage defines redox states (open symbols in Fig. 3) that are in-



FIG. 3. Experimental results of  $f H_2$ -sensor measurements in Au capsules of 0.2 mm wall thickness containing the buffer assemblage Co-CoO-H<sub>2</sub>O at 2 kbar Ar (open circles) and CH<sub>4</sub> (open squares) external pressure. The corresponding redox measurements of the pressure medium at P and T in these runs are shown by the filled symbols connected by the vertical lines. The plotted data are given in Table 1 and in CHOU (1987b, his Table 1). For runs containing both sensors A and B (type (a) in Fig. 2), average values are plotted. The solid line with a negative slope is the least-squares fit of all the data shown by the open symbols and can be represented by the equation log  $M_{\rm Br^-} = 0.1096 - 974.6/T$ , K ( $r^2 = 0.8928$ ). The relationship between  $f H_2$  and  $M_{Br}$  is given in Equation (2). Equilibrium redox states for the buffer assemblage Co-CoO-H<sub>2</sub>O were attained in these experiments in the Au capsules.

termediate between those of the pressure media, with CH<sub>4</sub> being more reducing (filled squares in Fig. 3 at  $T \ge 650^{\circ}$ C) and Ar more oxidizing (filled circles in Fig. 3). Reversal of the buffer reaction (Equation 3) can be demonstrated by consideration of the H<sub>2</sub>O budget in the system. Under Ar pressure, H<sub>2</sub> diffuses out of the Au capsule and the buffer reaction consumes H<sub>2</sub>O, while under CH<sub>4</sub> pressure at  $T \ge 650^{\circ}$ C, H<sub>2</sub> diffuses into the Au capsule and generates H<sub>2</sub>O. The H<sub>2</sub>O budget data are listed in Table 1. Also listed are the average rates of H<sub>2</sub>O consumption (negative sign) or generation (positive sign),  $\Delta$ H<sub>2</sub>O/t. The average permeation rates of H<sub>2</sub> through the Au capsules can be calculated from

$$\Delta H_2/t = (\Delta H_2 O/t) \times (2.016/18.015)$$
(5)

where  $\Delta H_2$  and  $\Delta H_2O$  are weight changes in  $H_2$ and  $H_2O$ , respectively, in the buffer system, *t* is duration of the run, and 2.016 and 18.015 are molecular weights of  $H_2$  and  $H_2O$ , respectively. A positive sign indicates influx of  $H_2$  and a negative sign indicates outflow of  $H_2$ . The  $\Delta H_2O/t$  data given in

Table 1 are obtained by ignoring the minor hydrogen exchanges between the  $f H_2$  sensor and the Co-CoO-H<sub>2</sub>O system. Also, these average rates of H<sub>2</sub>O consumption or generation are not necessarily equivalent to the rates at steady-state conditions; during the run, the redox states of the pressure media and hence the H gradients in the Au membrane are not rigorously defined so the presence or absence of steady-state conditions cannot be determined. Nevertheless, the  $\Delta H_2 O/t$  data can provide rough estimates on the rates of H<sub>2</sub> transfer as indicated by the rather systematic changes in  $\Delta H_2O/t$  under Ar external pressure, which range from  $-1.2 \,\mu$ g/hr at 500°C to  $-310 \,\mu$ g/hr at 800°C. The H<sub>2</sub>O budget was not determined in the earlier experiments under CH<sub>4</sub> external pressure (CHOU, 1987b); the H<sub>2</sub>O budget determined in run no. 28 (listed in Table 1) indicates influx of H<sub>2</sub> with a  $\Delta H_2 O/t = 1.77 \ \mu g/hr$ .

Experimental results obtained by using  $H_2O$  as a pressure medium are listed in Table 1 and shown in Fig. 4. The data plotted in Fig. 4 show that at temperatures above 600°C, equilibrium redox conditions of the Co-CoO-H<sub>2</sub>O assemblage, represented by the solid line derived from Fig. 3, cannot be achieved and maintained in the Au capsules. At these temperatures, the pressure medium exerts a



FIG. 4. Experimental results of  $f H_2$ -sensor measurements in Au capsules of 0.2 mm wall thickness containing the buffer assemblage Co-CoO-H<sub>2</sub>O at 2 kbar H<sub>2</sub>O external pressure (open triangles). The filled triangles represent the corresponding redox measurements of the pressure medium. The plotted data are given in Table 1. For runs containing both sensors A and B, average values are plotted. The equilibrium redox states of the Co-CoO-H<sub>2</sub>O buffer is shown by the solid line with a negative slope, taken from Fig. 3. These equilibrium states were not attained in the Au capsules for runs at temperatures above 600°C. The relationship between  $f H_2$  and  $M_{Br}$ - is given in Equation (2).

strong influence on the redox states of the fluids in the Au capsules. This is evident from the closeness of the  $M_{\rm Br}$ -values in sensors exposed to fluids inside and outside of the Au capsules for each run; their differences as given in Table 1 are less than 0.2 log unit, even for those runs in which the Co-CoO-H<sub>2</sub>O assemblage is protected by double layers of Au (configuration (c) in Fig. 2).

It has been shown previously (CHOU, 1986, his Equation (9)), that the rate of hydrogen transfer across a cylindrical metal membrane at steady state,  $dM_{\rm Ho}/dt$ , can be described by

$$dM_{\rm H_2}/dt = [2\pi\kappa l/\ln (r_{\rm e}/r_{\rm i})][|(f^{\rm i}{\rm H_2})^{1/2} - (f^{\rm e}{\rm H_2})^{1/2}|], \quad (6)$$

where  $\kappa$  is permeability constant of the metal membrane to hydrogen, l is the overall length of the cylinder,  $r_e$  and  $r_i$  are the outer and inner radii of the cylinder, respectively, and  $f^{i}H_{2}$  and  $f^{e}H_{2}$  are the internal and external hydrogen fugacities of the cylindrical container, respectively. According to Equation (6), there should have been no hydrogen transfer across the inner Au capsule in the double Au capsule experiments (type (c) in Fig. 2) because both sides of the inner membrane are exposed to the same redox buffer and therefore no driving force should exist for the diffusion and permeation of hydrogen (*i.e.*,  $(f^{i}H_{2})^{1/2} - (f^{e}H_{2})^{1/2} = 0$ ). However, this is not the case; the  $\Delta H_2 O/t$  data given in Table 1 indicate significant hydrogen loss from the inner Au capsule. The rapid outflow of H<sub>2</sub> through the outer Au capsule may generate some finite difference in  $f H_2$  between the internal and external fluids of the inner Au capsule, such that  $f^{i}H_{2} > f^{e}H_{2}$ , even though the two fluid phases were buffered by the same redox buffer assemblage. In Equation (6), equilibrium adsorption and desorption reactions are assumed at the internal or external faces of the membrane, such that

$$1/2 H_{2(g)} = H_{(membrane)}$$
  
 $K_s = C_H / (f_{H_2})^{1/2} (g/cm^3 bar^{1/2})$  (7)

where  $K_s$  is the equilibrium constant for the hydrogen adsorption or desorption and  $C_{\rm H}$  is the hydrogen concentration in the membrane (g/cm<sup>3</sup>). It is conceivable that for a given metal at a fixed *P*-*T* condition, the  $K_s$  value may depend upon the nature of the fluid matrix to which the metal is exposed. For example, because Ar is more inert than H<sub>2</sub>O, the desorption constant of hydrogen at the Au/Ar interface may be greater than that at the Au/H<sub>2</sub>O interface, such that for a given f H<sub>2</sub> at *P* and *T*,  $(C_{\rm H})^{\rm Au/Ar} > (C_{\rm H})^{\rm Au/H_2O}$ . Therefore, the permeability constant, ĸ, derived from Au/H2O adsorption and desorption interface experiments (CHOU, 1986) cannot be applied to cases where the desorption interface is Au/Ar;  $\kappa$  in the latter should be smaller. Consequently, according to Equation (6), for a given dimension of Au cylinder and a given  $|(f^{i}H_{2})^{1/2}|$  $-(f^{e}H_{2})^{1/2}$  value in systems having the same Au/  $H_2O$  absorption interface,  $dM_{H_2}/dt$  should be higher when the desorption interface is Au/H<sub>2</sub>O rather than Au/Ar. In other words, Au is a more efficient hydrogen shield when Ar rather than H<sub>2</sub>O is used as an external pressure medium. The apparent similarity in  $dM_{\rm H_2}/dt$  values for runs under Ar and H<sub>2</sub>O external pressures at the same P-T conditions, as indicated by their similar  $|\Delta H_2/t|$  values listed in Table 1, is due to the fact that under Ar pressure, the smaller  $\kappa$  is compensated for by the larger  $|(f^{i}H_{2})^{1/2} - (f^{e}H_{2})^{1/2}|$  (see Equation (6) and the  $f H_2$  differences between two sides of Au membrane inferred from the differences in  $M_{\rm Br}$ - between filled and open symbols shown in Figs. 3 and 4).

It can be concluded that in hydrothermal experiments where the Co-CoO-H<sub>2</sub>O buffer assemblage is used in the oxygen-buffer technique to control their redox states, equilibrium redox states of the buffer can be obtained in sealed Au capsules of 0.2 mm wall thickness between 500 and 800°C under 2 kbar of either Ar or CH<sub>4</sub> external pressure but cannot be obtained at temperatures between 600 and 800°C under 2 kbar of H<sub>2</sub>O external pressure. For more reducing buffers, such as  $WO_2-WO_{2+x}$ -H<sub>2</sub>O, Fe<sub>1-x</sub>O-Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O, Fe-Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O, and Fe- $Fe_{1-x}O-H_2O$ , there is no doubt that equilibrium redox states cannot be obtained in Au capsules of 0.2 mm wall thickness, as demonstrated by CYGAN and CHOU (1987) for the WO<sub>2</sub>-WO<sub>2+x</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O buffer under Ar and CH<sub>4</sub> as well as H<sub>2</sub>O external pressure. The measured steady-state  $f H_2$ 's in the Au capsules are much lower than the calculated equilibrium values, mainly due to high rates of  $H_2$  leakage.

#### **KINETICS OF BUFFER REACTIONS**

In order to maintain the equilibrium redox states of a buffer system at P and T in the Au capsule shown in Fig. 1, the buffer reaction rate has to be fast enough that the generation or consumption of H<sub>2</sub> in the buffer reaction can effectively counterbalance the loss or gain of H<sub>2</sub> in the system due to H<sub>2</sub> leakage through the outer capsule. The f H<sub>2</sub>sensor measurements for various buffer assemblages in Au capsules under 2 kbar Ar external pressure and between 600 and 800°C made by CHOU (1978) indicate that equilibrium redox states can be maintained for the buffer assemblages MnO-Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-  $H_2O$ , Ni-NiO- $H_2O$  (NNO), and Co-CoO- $H_2O$ . This is demonstrated by the linear relationship shown in Fig. 5 for these buffers, as follows from (1),

$$\log (m_{\rm HCl})_{P,T} = 1/2 \log f H_2 - 1/2 \log K_1.$$
 (8)

In Fig. 5, the chevrons indicate the reversed sensor HCl measurements for any given buffer. There are a number of chevron pairs for each buffer because different thermodynamic data from literature can be used to calculate the corresponding  $f H_2$  value. The sloping line, on the other hand, is based upon the assumption that the NNO point is experimentally and thermodynamically sound, a case argued in CHOU (1978) that for brevity will not be repeated here, with the slope of  $\frac{1}{2}$  corresponding to the value given by Equation (8). The circles, then, are the implied correct  $f H_2$  values for all other buffers, including MH (magnetite-hematite-H<sub>2</sub>O) and FMQ (fayalite-magnetite-quartz-H<sub>2</sub>O). It can be argued

that point 4 (HAAS and ROBIE, 1973, thermodynamic data) is essentially correct and therefore  $f H_2$ equilibrium was attained in our sensor experiment with that buffer. However we feel, on the basis of our own experience with MH, that a somewhat lower  $f H_2$  is probably correct and the HCl value given by the sensor was too high. From Equation (8) it is clear that higher HCl would correspond to a higher  $f H_2$  value, and, therefore,  $H_2$  leaked into the buffer assemblage tube. A similar argument applies to FMQ. In this case, however, point 10 is not based upon thermodynamic calculations; rather, it is an experimental hydrogen membrane measurement, which is apparently near the correct value. The other FMQ points show HCl values that are too low, indicating that H2 leaked out of the buffer assemblage tube.

To minimize the influx of  $H_2$  in the Au capsule containing the MH buffer and to prolong the life span of the buffer, it has been a common laboratory



FIG. 5. The internal consistency of f H<sub>2</sub> values of various buffers at 2 kbar and 700°C derived from fH2-sensor experiments (open circles) compared with values calculated from various sources of thermodynamic data (chevrons). Values of m<sub>HCl</sub> are calculated for each of the redox buffers from experimental data of CHOU (1978) as described in CHOU (1987a). One set of  $m_{\rm HCI}$  values is given for each buffer ( $\wedge$  for sensor A and  $\vee$  for sensor B). The  $fH_2$  values are calculated from: (1) and (18) ROBIE and WALDBAUM (1968); (2) and (14) EUGSTER and WONES (1962); (3), (15), and (16) SCHWAB and KUSTNER (1981); (4) HAAS and ROBIE (1973); (5) CHARETTE and FLENGAS (1968); (6) and (9) HAAS (in CHOU, 1987a); (7) and (8) HUEBNER and SATO (1970); (10) MYERS and EUGSTER (1983); (11) HEWITT (1978); (12) O'NEILL (1987); (13) WONES and GILBERT (1969); and (17) MYERS and GUNTER (1979). The dissociation constants for  $H_2O$  are taken from ROBIE et al. (1979) and the  $f H_2O$  data are from BURNHAM et al. (1969) in calculating  $f H_2$  from  $f O_2$  values. The relation between log  $m_{HCI}$ and  $\log f H_2$  given in Equation (8) is shown by the solid line assuming the  $f H_2$  values for NNO buffer in (8) is correct; the open circles are located based on this assumption (for detail, see CHOU, 1978). Deviation of the chevrons from the solid line indicates that either the thermodynamic data for other redox buffers are not consistent with the precise NNO buffer data or the measured values for  $m_{\rm HCI}$ are not equilibrium values (see text).

practice to use hematite instead of a magnetite-hematite mixture as the initial solid buffer material and CO<sub>2</sub> or Ar instead of H<sub>2</sub>O as an external pressure medium. This practice has been quite successful because the use of CO<sub>2</sub> and Ar instead of H<sub>2</sub>O lowers the f H<sub>2</sub> gradients across the Au membrane and also possibly lowers Au's permeability constant to hydrogen, as discussed above. However, the assumption that equilibrium redox control of the MH buffer exists in this experimental system is still questionable, as indicated in Fig. 5, even when the buffering material is still far from being exhausted.

The intrinsic  $f H_2$ 's in Au capsules containing the FMQ-H<sub>2</sub>O assemblage were measured under 2 and 4 kbar Ar external pressure and between 600 and 800°C by using the  $f H_2$  sensors (CHOU, 1978). These data are recalculated to 1 kbar pressure in order to compare with other data in the literature, and the results are shown in Fig. 6. It should be emphasized that the  $f H_2$ -sensor measurements give the true  $f H_2$  values in the Au capsules as demonstrated by the reversals from sensors A and B (triangles in Fig. 6). However, these  $f H_2$  values are not necessarily the equilibrium values imposed by the buffer because (1) the measured  $f H_2$ 's are built up by the decomposition of  $H_2$ O according to the reaction

$$3 \operatorname{Fe_2SiO}_{4(s)} + 2 \operatorname{H_2O} = 2 \operatorname{Fe_3O}_{4(s)} + 3 \operatorname{SiO}_{2(s)} + 2 \operatorname{H}_{2(e)}, \quad (9)$$

and the reversal of this buffer reaction has not yet been demonstrated, and (2) H<sub>2</sub> may have leaked out of the buffer system. Consequently, the measured  $f H_2$ 's would tend to be lower than the equilibrium values. For example, the fH2-sensor measurements indicate that the  $f H_2$  generated by reaction (9) in a sealed Au capsule at 1 kbar and 700°C is about 5.5 bars. This value is lower than the equilibrium value of 6.8 bars derived from the data of MYERS and EUGSTER (1983), indicating that only about 80% of the equilibrium  $f H_2$  value can be achieved. If HEWITT's (1978) equilibrium  $f H_2$ value of 8.4 bars is adopted, then the  $f H_2$  generated in the Au capsule is only about 65% of the equilibrium value. In other words, if the FMQ-H<sub>2</sub>O assemblage is used as a hydrogen source in the redox experiment, H2 generation will be sluggish and the equilibrium  $f H_2$ 's will be difficult to obtain even when there is no H<sub>2</sub> leakage from the Au capsule. Similarly, our preliminary data indicate that the H<sub>2</sub> consumption reaction of the FMQ buffer (Equation (9) to the left) is even more sluggish, and the  $f H_2$ in the system has to be considerably higher than



FIG. 6. Comparison of experimental reversals for the buffer assemblage Fe2SiO4-Fe3O4-SiO2-H2O (FMQ) at 1 kbar. Data of HEWITT (1978) are shown by chevrons and those of MYERS and EUGSTER (1983) by arrows, the two ends of arrows indicating the initial and final f H<sub>2</sub>. Dashdot line is a regression of MYERS and EUGSTER's data. Open and solid triangles are calculated, respectively, from 2- and 4-kbar data of CHOU (1978); right-side-up triangles are from sensor A and the inverted triangles are from sensor B. The reversals shown by the triangles indicate the attainment of osmotic equilibrium among the  $f H_2$  sensors and the FMQ buffer system in the experiments and do not demonstrate reversals of the buffer reaction. The dashed curve is the least-squares fit of Chou's data. Solid curves are for EUGSTER and WONES (1962), WONES and GILBERT (1969), and HEWITT (1978).

the equilibrium value to drive the reaction. Erroneous interpretations may result from the invalid assumption that equilibrium redox control by the FMQ buffer in the experimental system has been achieved simply from the post-experiment observation that the buffer assemblage has not been exhausted. We should recognize the fact that at a fixed P-T condition, the assemblage FMQ-H<sub>2</sub>O does not fix fH<sub>2</sub> in the experimental system at its equilibrium value. In an experimental system, the univariant curve defined by the FMQ buffer in an isobaric fO<sub>2</sub>-T space is not a thin line but rather a wide band.

It is equally important to recognize the fact that the  $f H_2$  level generated in a sealed Au capsule in hydrothermal experiments by the decomposition of  $H_2O$  in certain buffer assemblages depends not only on the nature of the buffer reaction but also on the ratio of  $H_2O$  to the solid buffer. For example, the  $f H_2$ -sensor measurements for the Ni-NiO-H<sub>2</sub>O buffer at 2 kbar and 600°C are given in Table 2 for

Table 2. The effect of  $H_2O/Ni$  ratio on the  $fH_2$  values generated in 44 hours by the assemblage Ni-NiO-H<sub>2</sub>O contained in Au capsules of 0.2 mm wall thickness at 2 kbar Ar external pressure and 600°C

| Run  | H <sub>2</sub> O | Ni    | NiO   | $M_{ m Cl^{-a^{a}}} \ (\pm 0.005)$ | fH <sub>2</sub>   |
|------|------------------|-------|-------|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| no.  | (mg)             | (mg)  | (mg)  |                                    | (bar)             |
| RR 5 | 17.7             | 196.2 | 197.0 | 0.400; (0.394)                     | 4.2 <sup>b)</sup> |
| RR 3 | 19.0             | 62.9  | 8.6   | 0.318; (0.321)                     | 2.8 <sup>c)</sup> |

<sup>a)</sup> Measured at 1 atm. and 25°C for the  $f H_2$  sensors containing Ag-AgCl-H<sub>2</sub>O-HCl; the numbers in parentheses are from sensors using 3m HCl as a starting solution and the rest are from sensors using H<sub>2</sub>O as a starting solution.

<sup>b)</sup> The equilibrium value given in Table 3.6 in CHOU (1987a) for run no. BC-76 of 4-day duration at 2 kbar and 600°C; the equilibrium  $M_{CI^-}$  for BC-76 are 0.3899 and (0.3882), which are very close to those given above for RR 5.

 $^{\rm c)}$  Calculated from Equations (3.23) and (3.26) in CHOU (1987a); S and R in Equation (3.26) represent RR 3 and BC-76, respectively.

two runs; one (RR5) has an H<sub>2</sub>O/Ni weight ratio of 0.090, and the other (RR3) 0.302, but both have about the same mass of H<sub>2</sub>O. After 44 hours,  $M_{CI^{-}}$  values of  $f H_2$  sensors of RR3 are smaller than the equilibrium value. Assuming the equilibrium  $f H_2$  at 2 kbar and 600°C for the Ni-NiO-H<sub>2</sub>O assemblage is 4.2 bars (see CHOU, 1987a, his Table 3.6), then according to Equation (1) the  $f H_2$  in RR3 is 2.8 bars, which is only 66% of the equilibrium value. It is evident from this example that when the surface area of the reactant (Ni in this case) exposed to the H<sub>2</sub>O-rich fluid is limited, as in RR3, it will take longer to establish the equilibrium  $f H_2$ , if it can be attained at all. Again, the assumption of equilibrium redox control of a buffer system where the ratio of H<sub>2</sub>O to solid buffer is high may result in erroneous interpretation of experimental data. The negative deviation of H<sub>2</sub>O activity from ideality in H2O-rich CO2-H2O fluids reported by CHOU and WILLIAMS (1979) is an example. We believe that equilibrium  $f H_2$ 's in the H<sub>2</sub>O-rich CO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O fluids in that study were not established because of the high ratio of H<sub>2</sub>O to the solid buffer in these runs.

#### COMMENTS ON ANNITE-SANIDINE-MAGNETITE EQUILIBRIUM

The reaction

KFe<sub>3</sub>AlSi<sub>3</sub>O<sub>10</sub>(OH)<sub>2(s)</sub>

annite

$$= \text{KAlSi}_{3}\text{O}_{8(s)} + \text{Fe}_{3}\text{O}_{4(s)} + \text{H}_{2(g)} \quad (10)$$
  
sanidine magnetite

was the first redox reaction investigated by EUGSTER and WONES (1962) using the oxygen buffer technique. Later, the same reaction was studied by RUTHERFORD (1969), WONES *et al.* (1971), and HEWITT and WONES (1981). These experimental data have been discussed by HEWITT and WONES (1984) and CHOU (1987a). The experimental difficulties described above for the oxygen buffer technique lead to additional comments.

If the solids in Equation (10) are pure,

$$\log K_{10} = \log f \,\mathrm{H}_2. \tag{11}$$

This equilibrium constant as a function of T is shown in Fig. 7 by the two heavy straight lines; one from EUGSTER and WONES (1962)

 $\log f H_2 = (-9215/T, K) + 10.99,$  (12)

and the other from HEWITT and WONES (1984)

$$\log f H_2 = (-8113/T, K) + 9.59$$

+ 0.0042(P-1)/T, K. (13)

It is important to note that the position of Equation (12) is entirely dependent upon the assumption of redox buffer equilibrium, whereas (13) is partially fixed by Shaw membrane experiments at approximately 750°C. The large discrepancy between these two lines, particularly at high  $f H_2$ , can be attributed to problems related to redox buffer control. For example, the reversal of reaction (10) observed by EUGSTER and WONES (1962) at 2070 bars along  $Fe_{1-x}O-Fe_3O_4-H_2O$  (WM) buffer is at 825  $\pm$  5°C and  $f H_2 = 347$  bars, while the  $f H_2$  predicted by Equation (13) at the same P-T condition is only 153 bars, a much lower value than the  $f H_2$  buffered by the WM. Similarly, the reversal observed by EUGSTER and WONES (1962) at 1035 bars along the same buffer is at 785  $\pm$  5°C and f H<sub>2</sub> = 221 bars, while Equation (13) predicts  $f H_2 = 80$  bars. These large discrepancies can be explained if the  $f H_2$  values actually obtained by EUGSTER and WONES (1962) in their Au capsules pressurized externally by H<sub>2</sub>O at various P-T conditions were much less than their corresponding equilibrium values defined by the WM buffer, similar to our observations for the Co-CoO-H<sub>2</sub>O buffer (Fig. 4) and for the WO<sub>2</sub>-WO<sub>2+x</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O assemblage (CYGAN and CHOU, 1987).

The reversal of reaction (10) reported by RUTH-ERFORD (1969) along the C-CH<sub>4</sub> buffer is at 830  $\pm$  5°C and  $f'H_2 = 306$  bars, which is calculated from thermochemical data assuming equilibrium redox control of the buffer (EUGSTER and SKIPPEN, 1967). As shown in Fig. 7, this data point essentially agrees with the reversal point obtained along the WM buffer at 2070 bars by EUGSTER and WONES (1962).



FIG. 7. Summary of experimental results for the reaction annite = sanidine + magnetite +  $H_2$  (reaction 10). The light solid and dashed lines are buffer curves at 2 and 1 kbar, total pressure, respectively. The parallelograms are reversals for reaction (10) based on the data of EUGSTER (1959; on FMQ buffer) EUGSTER and WONES (1962; on WM, FMQ, and NNO buffers), and RUTHERFORD (1969; on C-CH<sub>4</sub> and FMQ buffers). Note that the parallelograms on FMQ and NNO buffers have been shifted from their original positions because of the use of more reliable buffer calibration curves. The open circle represents Rutherford's reversal on C-CH<sub>4</sub> buffer using the calibrated buffer curve (CHOU, 1987b) instead of the calculated one (EUGSTER and SKIPPEN, 1967). The data sources for FMQ buffer are given in Fig. 6. The Co-CoO-H<sub>2</sub>O buffer (CHOU, 1978) is shown here for reference. The horizontal bars are reversal data for reactions (10) obtained by the Shaw bomb technique; those at 10, 50 and 100 bars  $f H_2$  are from WONES *et al.* (1971), and the one at 47 bars is from HEWITT and WONES (1981). The two heavy lines marked ASM represent the equilibrium constant for reaction (10) given by EUGSTER and WONES (1962) (Equation 12) and HEWITT and WONES (1984) (Equation 13). For the explanation of the discrepancies between these two lines, see text.

However, the real  $f H_2$  values determined by using the C-CH<sub>4</sub> buffer are much lower than the equilibrium values (CHOU, 1987b, also shown in Fig. 7). Therefore, the reversal point observed by Rutherford (1969) at 2 kbar and 830°C along the C-CH<sub>4</sub> buffer should be at  $f H_2 \approx 100$  bars (open circle in Fig. 7) instead of 306 bars. The value is approximate because the C-CH<sub>4</sub> buffer calibration is system specific, although it may be applied in a general way to the experimental system used by RUTHERFORD (1969). This new reversal point agrees fairly well, as shown in Fig. 7, with the reversal point observed by WONES *et al.* (1971) at 1 kbar and  $812 \pm 6^{\circ}$ C with  $f H_2 = 100$  bars. Note that the reversal point at 1 kbar, 746  $\pm$  12°C and  $f H_2 = 50$  bars observed by WONES *et al.* (1971) also agrees well with the point obtained by using the improved Shaw bomb technique at 1 kbar, 752  $\pm$  3°C and  $f H_2 = 47 \pm 3$ bars (HEWITT and WONES, 1981; also see Fig. 7). However, the reversal point observed by WONES *et al.* (1971) at 1 kbar, 631  $\pm$  6°C and  $f H_2 = 10$  bars does not agree with the reversal points defined by observations along the Ni-NiO-H<sub>2</sub>O buffer at 2070 bars and  $635 \pm 5^{\circ}$ C and at 1050 bars and  $615 \pm 5^{\circ}$ C (EUGSTER and WONES, 1962; also see Fig. 7). This is probably due to the inadequacy of the Shaw bomb technique in low f H<sub>2</sub> experiments. On the other hand, at these *P-T-f* H<sub>2</sub> conditions the use of the Ni-NiO-H<sub>2</sub>O buffer is ideal because the hydrogen leakage and the slow kinetics of the buffer reaction problems described previously are minimal to nonexistent. We agree with HEWITT and WONES (1984) that the original reversed points along the Ni-NiO-H<sub>2</sub>O buffer (EUGSTER and WONES, 1962) should be adopted.

The relatively large reversal brackets, in terms of both T and f H<sub>2</sub>, for reaction (10) along the FMQ buffer, as shown in Fig. 7, reflect the inadequacy of using the FMQ-H<sub>2</sub>O assemblage as a buffer as described previously. Similarly, the reversal point along the MH-H<sub>2</sub>O buffer at 2 kbar and 440 ± 5°C, (HEWITT and WONES, 1981), not shown on Fig. 7, needs to be verified. Our initial experiments using f H<sub>2</sub> sensors have been unable to verify this reversal point.

## QUANTITATIVE REDOX CONTROL

Direct  $f H_2$ -sensor measurements as well as the experimental data on annite-sanidine-magnetite equilibrium described above demonstrate that equilibrium redox conditions may not always be obtained for buffer assemblages in hydrothermal experimental systems using the oxygen-buffer technique of EUGSTER (1957). This is especially true when H<sub>2</sub>O is used as an external pressure medium and the redox conditions of the system are more oxidizing than those defined by the MnO-Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>- $H_2O$  buffer or more reducing than those defined by the FMQ-H<sub>2</sub>O buffer. The presence of the buffering phases examined after quench is a necessary condition but not sufficient proof for equilibrium redox control during the run. Therefore it is necessary to incorporate an  $f H_2$  sensor into the experiment design (Fig. 8) to monitor the true redox conditions. Even though continuous monitoring of redox conditions during the run is not practical, deviations from equilibrium redox conditions can be detected and subsequent erroneous assumptions of equilibrium redox control can be avoided. Furthermore, since the exact redox condition of the experimental system will be determined by the  $f H_2$  sensor, several semiquantitative redox control methods can be adopted such that intentional deviations from redox conditions defined by the conventional solid-oxygen buffers can be achieved. For example, the charge system and the  $f H_2$  sensor shown in Fig. 8 can be



FIG. 8. Schematic diagram showing experimental arrangement for quantitative redox control and measurement. The other species commonly used to reduce  $f H_2O$  in the buffer system are salts or stable gases produced by decomposition of Ag<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> or AgN<sub>3</sub>. Alternately, the charge system and the  $f H_2$  sensor can be exposed directly to the external pressure medium, the  $f H_2$  of which is controlled semiquantitatively (see text).

exposed directly to the pressure medium in the pressure vessel and the  $f H_2$  of the system can be controlled semiquantitatively by using various pressure media such as H<sub>2</sub>O, CO<sub>2</sub>, Ar, CH<sub>4</sub>, and mixtures of Ar and H<sub>2</sub> (SHAW, 1963) or Ar and CH<sub>4</sub> (POPP et al., 1984). Alternatively, the f H<sub>2</sub> values buffered by the external system shown in Fig. 8 can be lowered by lowering  $f H_2O$  through the addition of a second species in the vapor phase of the external system (WHITNEY, 1972). Silver oxalate  $(Ag_2C_2O_4)$  and silver azide  $(AgN_3; KEPPLER, 1989)$ are convenient sources for CO2 and N2, respectively, to be used for this purpose. Salts such as NaCl (FRANZ, 1982) and KCl can also be used. However, it should be kept in mind that unless the fluid at Pand T is saturated with respect to the salt added, the  $f H_2$  in the experimental system is only semiquantitatively controlled instead of being defined or buffered, because the system is open to H<sub>2</sub>O and therefore its  $f H_2 O$  and  $f H_2$  are not rigorously defined. Fortunately, since the quantities of H<sub>2</sub>O in the system before and after the run can easily be determined gravimetrically, the overall changes in  $f H_2 O$  and  $f H_2$  in the run can be determined, and these changes can be reduced by minimizing the hydrogen fluxes across the inner and outer capsule walls. To determine the reaction direction in the charge system shown in Fig. 8, monitoring the gain or loss of H<sub>2</sub>O in the charge system may be more sensitive than the conventional methods based upon analysis of the solid reaction products. This approach, together with the quantitative redox control methods described above, has been successfully applied to the studies of mineral stability relations in the skarn system Ca-Fe-Si-O-H (MOECHER and CHOU, 1989).

#### CONCLUSIONS

Direct fH2-sensor measurements in hydrothermal experimental systems using the oxygen-buffer technique of EUGSTER (1957) indicate that equilibrium redox conditions may not have been achieved and maintained at the run conditions. This is primarily due to the slow kinetics of the buffer reactions and/or the significant hydrogen leakage through the container of the system, particularly when H<sub>2</sub>O instead of Ar is used as an external pressure medium and when the redox states of the system are quite different from those of the pressure medium. The inconsistent experimental data reported by various investigators for the annite-sanidine-magnetite-vapor equilibria, for example, can be explained by the invalid assumption of equilibrium redox control in some of the experiments. The incorporation of an  $f H_2$  sensor in each redox-related experiment can ensure that proper redox control in the experiments using the conventional oxygen buffers has been achieved. It also provides a way to control and measure deviations of the redox states from those defined by these buffers.

Acknowledgements—I-Ming Chou had the privilege of working closely with Prof. Hans P. Eugster for about six years at The Johns Hopkins University, first as a graduate student and later as a postdoctoral fellow and a research associate. Without Hans' pioneering work on the solidoxygen buffers and his constant guidance and encouragement, this contribution would not have been possible. J. S. Huebner kindly provided access to his high-pressure equipment and X-ray facilities. Reviews by H. T. Haselton, J. J. Hemley, W. D. Gunter, and G. B. Skippen were greatly appreciated.

#### REFERENCES

- BURNHAM C. W., HOLLOWAY J. R. and DAVIS N. P. (1969) Thermodynamic properties of water to 1000°C and 10,000 bars. *Geol. Soc. Amer. Special Paper* **132**, 96pp.
- CHARETTE G. G. and FLENGAS S. N. (1968) Thermodynamic properties of the oxides of Fe, Ni, Pb, Cu, and Mn by EMF measurements. J. Electrochem. Soc. 115, 796-804.
- CHOU I-MING (1978) Calibration of oxygen buffers at elevated P and T using the hydrogen fugacity sensor. Amer. Mineral. 63, 690-703.
- CHOU I-MING (1986) Permeability of precious metals to hydrogen at 2 kb total pressure and elevated temperatures. Amer. J. Sci. 286, 638-658.
- CHOU I-MING (1987a) Oxygen buffer and hydrogen sensor

techniques at elevated pressures and temperatures. In *Hydrothermal Experimental Techniques* (eds. G. C. ULMER and H. L. BARNES), pp. 61–99. J. Wiley & Sons, New York.

- CHOU I-MING (1987b) Calibration of the graphite-methane buffer using the f H<sub>2</sub> sensors at 2 kilobar pressure. *Amer. Mineral.* 72, 76–81.
- CHOU I-MING (1988) Quantitative redox control in hydrothermal experiments (abstr.) EOS 69, 528.
- CHOU I-MING (1989) A low-temperature redox sensor (abstr.) EOS 70, 507.
- CHOU I-MING and CYGAN G. L. (1987) Effect of pressure medium on redox control in hydrothermal experiments (abstr.). EOS 68, 451.
- CHOU I-MING and CYGAN G. L. (1989) Equilibrium and steady-state redox control in hydrothermal experiments (abstr.). 28th Internat. Geol. Congr. 1–287.
- CHOU I-MING and EUGSTER H. P. (1976) A sensor for hydrogen fugacities at elevated P and T and applications (abstr.). EOS 57, 340.
- CHOU I-MING and WILLIAMS R. J. (1979) Activity of H<sub>2</sub>O in supercritical fluids: H<sub>2</sub>O-CO<sub>2</sub> at 600° and 700°C at elevated pressures (abstr.). *Lunar Planet. Sci.*, X, 201– 203.
- CYGAN G. L. and CHOU I-MING (1987) Calibration of the WO<sub>2</sub>-WO<sub>3</sub> buffer (abstr.). *EOS* **68**, 451.
- EUGSTER H. P. (1957) Heterogeneous reactions involving oxidation and reduction at high pressures and temperature. J. Chem. Phys. 26, 1760-1761.
- EUGSTER H. P. and SKIPPEN G. B. (1967) Igneous and metamorphic reactions involving gas equilibria. In *Researches in Geochemistry*, Vol. 2 (ed. P. H. ABELSON), pp. 492–520. Wiley, New York.
- EUGSTER H. P. and WONES D. R. (1962) Stability relations of the ferruginous biotite, annite. J. Petrol. 3, 81–124.
- FRANZ G. (1982) The brucite-periclase equilibrium at reduced H<sub>2</sub>O activities: some information about the system H<sub>2</sub>O-NaCl. Amer. J. Sci. 282, 1325–1339.
- HAAS J. L., JR. and ROBIE R. A. (1973) Thermodynamic data for wüstite, Fe<sub>0.947</sub>O, magnetite, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, and hematite, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. EOS 54, 483.
- HEWITT D. A. (1978) A redetermination of the fayalitemagnetite-quartz equilibrium between 650° and 850°C. *Amer. J. Sci.* 278, 715–724.
- HEWITT D. A. and WONES D. R. (1981) The annite-sanidine-magnetite equilibrium (abstr.). GAC-MAC Joint Annual Meeting, Calgary, 6, A-66.
- HEWITT D. A. and WONES D. R. (1984) Experimental phase relations of the micas. In *Mica* (ed. S. W. BAILEY), Reviews of Mineralogy 13, pp. 201–256. Mineral. Soc. Amer.
- HUEBNER J. S. (1971) Buffering techniques for hydrostatic systems at elevated pressures. In *Research Techniques* for High Pressure and High Temperature (ed. G. C. ULMER), pp. 123–177. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- HUEBNER J. S. and SATO M. (1970) The oxygen fugacitytemperature relationships of manganese and nickel oxide buffers. *Amer. Mineral.* 55, 934–952.
- KEPPLER H. (1989) A new method for the generation of N<sub>2</sub>-containing fluids in high-pressure experiments. *Eur. J. Mineral.* 1, 135–137.
- MOECHER D. P. and CHOU I-MING (1989) Experimental study of equilibria in the system Fe-Ca-Si-O, and revised estimates of  $\Delta_f G_{298}^0$  for andradite and hedenbergite (abstr.). Geol. Soc. Amer. Abstr. with Progr. 21, A156.
- MYERS J. and EUGSTER H. P. (1983) The system Fe-Si-

O: Oxygen buffer calibrations to 1500K. Contrib. Mineral. Petrol. 82, 75–90.

- MYERS J. and GUNTER W. D. (1979) Measurement of the oxygen fugacity of the cobalt-cobalt oxide buffer assemblage. *Amer. Mineral.* **64**, 224–228.
- O'NEILL H. ST. C. (1987) Quartz-fayalite-iron and quartzfayalite-magnetite equilibria and the free energy of formation of fayalite (Fe<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>) and magnetite (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>). *Amer. Mineral.* **72**, 67–75.
- POPP R. K., NAGY K. L. and HAJASH A., JR. (1984) Semiquantitative control of hydrogen fugacity in rapidquench hydrothermal vessels. *Amer. Mineral.* 69, 557– 562.
- ROBIE R. A. and WALDBAUM D. R. (1968) Thermodynamic properties of minerals and related substances at 298.15K (25°C) and one atmosphere (1.013 bars) pressure and at higher temperatures. U.S. Geol. Surv. Bull. 1259, 256pp.
- ROBLE R. A., HEMINGWAY B. and FISHER J. R. (1979) Thermodynamic properties of minerals and related substances at 298.15K and 1 bar (10<sup>5</sup> Pascals) pressure

and at higher temperatures. U.S. Geol. Surv. Bull. 1452 (Reprint with corrections 1979), 456pp.

- RUTHERFORD M. J. (1969) An experimental determination of iron biotite-alkali-feldspar equilibria. J. Petrol. 10, 381-408.
- SCHWAB R. G. and KÜSTNER D. (1981) The equilibrium fugacities of important oxygen buffers in technology and petrology. *Neues Jahrb. für Mineral. Abhandl.* **140**, 111–142.
- SHAW H. R. (1963) Hydrogen-water vapor mixtures: control of hydrothermal atmospheres by hydrogen osmosis. *Science* 139, 1220–1222.
- WHITNEY J. A. (1972) The effect of reduced H<sub>2</sub>O fugacity on the buffering of oxygen fugacity in hydrothermal experiments. *Amer. Mineral.* 57, 1902–1908.
- WONES D. R. and GILBERT M. C. (1969) The fayalitemagnetite-quartz assemblage between 600 and 800°C. *Amer. J. Sci.* 267, 480–488.
- WONES D. R., BURNS R. G. and CARROLL B. M. (1971) Stability and properties of synthetic annite (abstr.). *EOS* **52**, 369.

